

Appl. No. 10/734,072  
Response to 11/15/2006 Final Office Action  
Atty. Dkt. MI40-369

**RECEIVED**  
**CENTRAL FAX CENTER**  
**DEC 08 2006**

**REMARKS**

Reconsideration is requested.

Previously filed amendments to the specification are continued herein in order to amend relative to the issued patent, as required by 37 C.F.R. §1.173.

The Examiner's indication that claims 1-19 are allowable is acknowledged.

A telephonic interview that took place on December 4, 2006 between Examiner Everhart, the undersigned, and assignee's attorney David Kaplan is acknowledged.

Agreement was reached to amend claim 39 as presented herein to distinguish over the Marsh et al. reference.

Support can be found from Col. 3, line 40 to Col. 4, line 2 of U.S. Patent No. 6,329,213.

Therefore, claim 39 is allowable. As claims 40-43 depend on claim 39, they too are allowable.

Claim 63 is allowable for reasons similar to those provided above with respect to claim 39.

As claims 64-67 depend on claim 63, they too are allowable.

Claim 73 is also allowable for reasons similar to those provided above with respect to claim 39.

Therefore, claim 73 is allowable. As claims 74-83 depend on claim 73, they too are allowable.

**Appl. No. 10/734,072  
Response to 11/15/2006 Final Office Action  
Atty. Dkt. M140-369**

During the interview, it was agreed that this claims 84 and 97 already distinguish over the Marsh et al. reference. As claims 85-91 depend on claim 84, they too are allowable. As claims 98-101 depend on claim 97, they too are allowable.

Claim 92 is allowable for reasons similar to those provided above with respect to claim 39.

Claims 39-43 and 63-67 have been amended to obviate the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 112 by deleting the recitation "to enable wireless communications" in those claims.

A follow up telephonic interview that took place on December 7, 2006 between the undersigned, Examiner Everhart, and David Kaplan is also acknowledged. The Examiner indicated that the claims as presented here fairly reflect what was agreed in the interview of December 4, 2006, that the claims as presented here distinguish over the Marsh et al. reference, and that the application should now be allowable absent more relevant art.

A Statement of Status of Claims and Support in accordance with 37 CFR 1.174(c) is attached as a separate paper.

This application is believed to be in immediate condition for allowance, and action to that end is respectfully requested. If the Examiner's next anticipated action is anything other than a Notice of Allowance, the undersigned

Appl. No. 10/794,072  
Response to 11/15/2006 Final Office Action  
Atty. Dkt. M140-369

respectfully requests a telephone interview prior to issuance of any such subsequent action.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: Dec. 8, 2006

By: 

Deepak Malhotra  
Reg. No. 33,560

Encl: *Statement of Status of Claims and Support*